

Weakly proregular sequence and Čech, local cohomology

安藤遼哉

東京理科大学理工学研究科

2021/10/13

- 1 Research Background
- 2 Introduction
- 3 Čech cohomology and local cohomology
- 4 Weakly proregular sequence
- 5 Proof of Schenzel's theorem
- 6 Reference

- 可換環論, 特に非 Noether 環に注目して研究をしています. 可換環論は代数幾何学と歴史的に結びつきの強い分野で (発表者も所属は代数幾何系の研究室です), 積極的に研究されているのは Noether 環が中心です.

FAQ

- 非 Noether 環の研究って何をしているの?
- 目的は?
- 応用は?
- ...etc.

- Q. 非 Noether 環の研究って何をしているの？

- ▶ A. 大きく分けて、Noether 環の理論を非 Noether に拡張する研究と、非 Noether でしか起こり得ない現象を調べる研究があります。

↪ Hamilton and Marley (2007), Kim and Walker (2020), Miller (2008) などが CM 環, Gorenstein 環などのホモロジカルな性質を拡張して、非 Noether 環上に一般化する研究を行っています。

↪ 2次元以上の付値環は決して Noether 環にはなりません。

また Noether ではない環を含むような環のクラスに Krull 整域 (UFD の一般化) があります (Noether 整域が Krull 整域であることと、整閉整域であることは同値です)。

↪ 少し古いですが、非 Noether 可換環論の話題を集めた本も出ています (Chapman and Glaz (2000))。

今日は Schenzel (2003) による weakly proregular sequence を紹介して、Noether 環における事実が非 Noether 環に一般化される様子を見ていきましょう。そして Schenzel の定理 (Theorem 4.1) の初頭的 (?) な証明を発表者の preprint¹ (arXiv:2105.07652) に基づいて紹介します。

¹Accepted in *Moroccan Journal of Algebra and Geometry with Applications*.

- 1 Research Background
- 2 Introduction**
- 3 Čech cohomology and local cohomology
- 4 Weakly proregular sequence
- 5 Proof of Schenzel's theorem
- 6 Reference

Various cohomologies and homologies are used in (commutative) algebra theory.

Example. A : ring (unitary and commutative), I : ideal of A , and $M, N \in \text{Mod}(A)$.

($\text{Mod}(A)$: the category of A -modules, $\text{mod}(A)$: the category of finitely generated A -modules.)

- $\text{Ext}_A^i(M, -)$ Derived functor of $\text{Hom}_A(M, -)$.
- $\text{Tor}_i^A(M, -)$ Derived functor of $M \otimes_A -$.
- $H_I^i(-)$ Derived functor of $\varinjlim \text{Hom}_A(A/I^n, -)$.
- $H_i(f, -)$ Koszul homology defined by the A -linear map $f : N \rightarrow A$.
- $\check{H}^i(\underline{a}, -)$ Čech cohomology defined by the sequence $\underline{a} = a_1, \dots, a_r \in A$.
- and more!

Derived functor is obtained from a right (or left) exact functor. For example, let J^\bullet be an injective resolution of N , then $\text{Ext}^i(M, N) := H^i(\text{Hom}(M, J^\bullet))$.

Why are cohomologies used so much?

→ One of the reasons for this is that ideal theoretic data can be written in a easier form for calculation.

Definition 2.1

A : ring , $M \in \text{Mod}(A)$. $a \in A$ is called **M -regular** if $\forall x \neq 0 \in M, ax \neq 0$.

A sequence $\underline{a} = a_1, \dots, a_r \in A$ is called an **M -regular sequence** if;

- $M/(a_1, \dots, a_r)M \neq 0$,
- $1 \leq i \leq r, a_i$ is an $M/(a_1, \dots, a_{i-1})M$ -regular.

Definition 2.2

A : Noetherian ring, $M \in \text{mod}(A)$ and I : ideal with $IM \neq M$.

$$\text{depth}_I(M) := \sup \{ r \geq 0 \mid \exists \underline{a} = a_1, \dots, a_r \in I, \underline{a} \text{ is an } M\text{-regular sequence.} \}$$

is called an **I -depth of M** .

Theorem 2.3 (Rees)

Under the above notation, the length of a maximal regular sequence is constant. Also;

$$\text{depth}_I(M) = \inf \{ i \geq 0 \mid \text{Ext}^i(A/I, M) \neq 0 \} .$$

This theorem shows that the depth of module is calculatable by using a cohomology!

- 1 Research Background
- 2 Introduction
- 3 Čech cohomology and local cohomology**
- 4 Weakly proregular sequence
- 5 Proof of Schenzel's theorem
- 6 Reference

Definition 3.1

A : ring , I : ideal of A .

$H_I^i(-)$: the right derived functor of $\varinjlim \text{Hom}_A(A/I^n, -)$ is called a **local cohomology**.

Note that there are following isomorphisms,

$$H_I^i(M) \cong \varinjlim \text{Ext}^i(A/I^n, M)$$

since taking the inductive limit is an exact functor.

Definition 3.2

A : ring , $\underline{a} = a_1, \dots, a_r \in A$.

$\{e_i\}$: the standard basis of A^r .

For each $I = \{j_1, \dots, j_i\}$ ($1 \leq j_1 < \dots < j_i \leq r$), let $a_I = a_{j_1} \cdots a_{j_i}$ and $e_I = e_{j_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{j_i}$.

$C^\bullet(\underline{a})$: the complex defined by;

$$C^i(\underline{a}) := \sum_{\#I=i} A_{a_I} e_I,$$

$$d^i : C^i(\underline{a}) \rightarrow C^{i+1}(\underline{a}); e_I \mapsto \sum_{j=1}^r e_I \wedge e_j.$$

It is called a **Čech complex**.

$\check{H}^i(\underline{a})$: the cohomology of $C^\bullet(\underline{a})$ is called a **Čech cohomology**.

For $M \in \text{Mod}(A)$, we define $C^\bullet(\underline{a}, M) := C^\bullet(\underline{a}) \otimes M$, $\check{H}^i(\underline{a}, M) := H^i(C^\bullet(\underline{a}, M))$.

Theorem 3.3

A : Noetherian ring, $\underline{a} = a_1, \dots, a_r \in A$ and $I = (a_1, \dots, a_r)$. There are isomorphisms;

$$H_I^i(M) \cong \check{H}^i(\underline{a}, M)$$

for any $M \in \text{Mod}(A)$.

What happens if we remove the Noetherian assumption? Can we extend this theorem?

→ This theorem was extended by Schenzel (2003) by introducing a weakly proregular sequence.

- 1 Research Background
- 2 Introduction
- 3 Čech cohomology and local cohomology
- 4 Weakly proregular sequence**
- 5 Proof of Schenzel's theorem
- 6 Reference

Theorem 4.1 (Schenzel)

A : ring, $\underline{a} = a_1, \dots, a_r \in A$ and $I = (a_1, \dots, a_r)$.

\underline{a} is a weakly proregular sequence $\iff \forall i \geq 0, \forall M \in \text{Mod}(A), H_I^i(M) \cong \check{H}^i(\underline{a}, M)$.

A weakly proregular sequence is defined using the Koszul complex.

Definition 4.2

A : ring, $\underline{a} = a_1, \dots, a_r \in A$. $\{e_i\}$: the standard basis of A^r .

$K_\bullet(\underline{a})$ is the complex defined by ;

$$K_i(\underline{a}) = \bigwedge^i A^r$$

$$d_i : K_i(\underline{a}) \rightarrow K_{i-1}(\underline{a}); e_I \mapsto \sum_{k=1}^i (-1)^{k+1} a_{j_k} e_{j_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \widehat{e_{j_k}} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{j_i}.$$

It is called a **Koszul (chain) complex**.

$H_i(\underline{a})$: the homology of $K_\bullet(\underline{a})$ is called a **Koszul homology**.

\underline{a}^n : the sequence defined by a_1^n, \dots, a_r^n .

Note that by following morphisms, Koszul complexes constitute an inverse system $\{K_\bullet(\underline{a}^n)\}_{n \geq 0}$;

$$\varphi_{mn} : K_i(\underline{a}^m) \rightarrow K_i(\underline{a}^n); e_I \mapsto a_I^{m-n} e_I \quad (n \leq m).$$

\rightsquigarrow This induces a morphism between homologies.

Definition 4.3 (Schenzel)

A : ring, $\underline{a} = a_1, \dots, a_r \in A$.

\underline{a} is called a **weakly proregular sequence** if $1 \leq \forall i \leq r, \forall n \geq 0, \exists m \geq n; \varphi_{mn} : H_i(\underline{a}^m) \rightarrow H_i(\underline{a}^n)$ is the zero map.

We will explain that Schenzel's theorem (Theorem 4.1) is an extension of the Noetherian case.

Definition 4.4 (Greenlees, May)

A : ring , $\underline{a} = a_1, \dots, a_r \in A$.

\underline{a} is called a **proregular sequence** if

$$1 \leq \forall i \leq r, \forall n > 0, \exists m \geq n; \forall a \in A, aa_i^m \in (a_1^m, \dots, a_{i-1}^m) \implies aa_i^{m-n} \in (a_1^n, \dots, a_{i-1}^n).$$

The following relations hold;

$$\text{Regular} \implies \text{Proregular} \implies \text{Weakly proregular}.$$

- The first implication is easy. If \underline{a} is a regular sequence, for each $n > 0$, let $m = n$.
- The second is proved by calculating a Koszul homology.

Proposition 4.5

A : Noetherian ring, $\underline{a} = a_1, \dots, a_r \in A$. \underline{a} is a proregular sequence.

Proof.

Let $J_m^i = ((a_1^m, \dots, a_{i-1}^m) : a_i^m A)$, $I_{n,m}^i = ((a_1^n, \dots, a_{i-1}^n) : a_i^{m-n} A)$.

\underline{a} is a proregular sequence $\iff 1 \leq \forall i \leq r, \forall n > 0, \exists m \geq n; J_m^i \subset I_{n,m}^i$.

Fix $1 \leq \forall i \leq r$ and omit from the notation.

Fix n , $\{I_{n,m}\}_{m \geq n}$: ascending chain of ideals $\rightsquigarrow \exists m_0 \geq n; \forall m \geq m_0, I_{n,m_0} = I_{n,m}$.

Let $m := m_0 + n$, then $\forall a \in J_{m_0}$, $aa_i^{m-n} = aa_i^{m_0} \in (a_1^{m_0}, \dots, a_{i-1}^{m_0}) \subset (a_1^n, \dots, a_{i-1}^n)$.

So $J_{m_0} \subset I_{n,m_0}$. □

Corollary 4.6 (ICYMI : Theorem 3.3)

A : Noetherian ring, $\underline{a} = a_1, \dots, a_r \in A$ and $I = (a_1, \dots, a_r)$. There are isomorphisms;

$$H_I^i(M) \cong \check{H}^i(\underline{a}, M)$$

for any $M \in \text{Mod}(A)$.

Another proof of Theorem 3.3.

By above proposition, \underline{a} is proregular. $\rightsquigarrow \underline{a}$ is weakly proregular.

Then according to Schenzel's theorem, $H_I^i(M) \cong \check{H}^i(\underline{a}, M)$. □

- 1 Research Background
- 2 Introduction
- 3 Čech cohomology and local cohomology
- 4 Weakly proregular sequence
- 5 Proof of Schenzel's theorem**
- 6 Reference

Why is a weakly proregular sequence defined by using a Koszul homology?

\rightsquigarrow A Čech cohomology can be written by using a Koszul cohomology!

$K^\bullet(\underline{a}) := \text{Hom}(K_\bullet(\underline{a}), A)$. For $M \in \text{Mod}(A)$, $K^\bullet(\underline{a}, M) := \text{Hom}(K_\bullet(\underline{a}), M) = K^\bullet(\underline{a}) \otimes M$.

$$\text{Hom}(-, A) \left(\begin{array}{l} K_\bullet(\underline{a}) : \cdots \longrightarrow K_1(\underline{a}) \longrightarrow K_0(\underline{a}) \longrightarrow 0 \\ K^\bullet(\underline{a}) : 0 \longrightarrow K^0(\underline{a}) \longrightarrow K^1(\underline{a}) \longrightarrow \cdots \end{array} \right)$$

The opposition of morphism induces an inductive system $\{K^\bullet(\underline{a}^n)\}_{n \geq 0}$;

$$\varphi^{nm} : K^i(\underline{a}^n) \rightarrow K^i(\underline{a}^m); (e_I)^* \mapsto a_I^{m-n}(e_I)^*.$$

Proposition 5.1

A : ring, $\underline{a} = a_1, \dots, a_r \in A$ and $M \in \text{Mod}(A)$. Then;

$$\check{H}^i(\underline{a}, M) \cong \varinjlim H^i(\underline{a}^n, M).$$

Sketch of the proof.

$\varphi^i : K^i(\underline{a}) \rightarrow C^i(\underline{a}); (e_I)^* \mapsto (1/a_I)e_I$ is a morphism of complexes.

So we get $\varphi_n^\bullet : K^\bullet(\underline{a}^n) \rightarrow C^\bullet(\underline{a}^n) = C^\bullet(\underline{a})$. It induces $\varphi : \varinjlim K^\bullet(\underline{a}^n) \rightarrow C^\bullet(\underline{a})$ and this is an isomorphism.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \dots & \longrightarrow & K^\bullet(\underline{a}^n) & \xrightarrow{\varphi^{nm}} & K^\bullet(\underline{a}^m) & \longrightarrow & \dots \longrightarrow \varinjlim K^\bullet(\underline{a}^n) \\ & & & & & \searrow \varphi_m^\bullet & \downarrow \varphi \\ & & & & & & C^\bullet(\underline{a}) \\ & & \searrow \varphi_n^\bullet & & & & \end{array}$$

Then ; $\varinjlim H^i(\underline{a}^n, M) = H^i(\varinjlim K^\bullet(\underline{a}^n) \otimes M) \cong H^i(C^\bullet(\underline{a}^n) \otimes M) = \check{H}^i(\underline{a}^n, M)$.

□

Remark 5.2

By Proposition 5.1,

$$\check{H}^i(\underline{a}, M) \cong \varinjlim H^i(\underline{a}^n, M).$$

By the definition,

$$H_I^i(M) \cong \varinjlim \text{Ext}^i(A/I^n, M).$$

~~~~~> Schenzel's theorem holds if  $H^i(\underline{a}^n, M) \cong \text{Ext}^i(A/I^n, M)$ , which is true when  $\underline{a}$  is a regular sequence.

However, if  $\underline{a}$  is not regular, it may not work. So we will need to find another way.

~~~~~> We will show a way to use the  $\delta$ -functor.

A : ring, I : ideal of A . Let $\Gamma_I(M) := \{x \in M \mid \exists n \geq 0; I^n x = 0\}$.

\rightsquigarrow The functor $\Gamma_I(-)$ connects a local cohomology and a Čech cohomology.

Lemma 5.3

A : ring, $\underline{a} = a_1, \dots, a_r \in A$, $I = (a_1, \dots, a_r)$: ideal of A and $M \in \text{Mod}(A)$.

$$H_I^0(M) \cong \Gamma_I(M) \cong \check{H}^0(\underline{a}, M).$$

Proof.

- First isomorphism: $H_I^0(M) = \varinjlim \text{Hom}(A/I^n, M)$, $\text{Hom}(A/I^n, M) \cong \{x \in M \mid I^n x = 0\}$.
- $\check{H}^0(\underline{a}, M)$ is the kernel of $(M \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^r M_{a_i} e_i; x \mapsto (x/1)e_i)$.

$\rightsquigarrow \forall x \in \check{H}^0(\underline{a}, M), 1 \leq \forall i \leq r, \exists n_i \geq 0; a_i^{n_i} x = 0$. i.e. $x \in \Gamma_I(M)$.

Similarly $\Gamma_I(M) \subset \check{H}^0(\underline{a}, M)$. $\rightsquigarrow \check{H}^0(\underline{a}, M) = \Gamma_I(M)$ as a submodule of M . □

Definition 5.4

\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} : Abelian categories.

$T^\bullet := \{T^i : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}\}_{i \geq 0}$: family of additive functors.

T^\bullet is called a δ -**functor** if ;

- For each exact sequence $0 \rightarrow A_1 \rightarrow A_2 \rightarrow A_3 \rightarrow 0$ in \mathcal{A} , $\exists \delta^i : T^i(A_3) \rightarrow T^{i+1}(A_1)$;

$$0 \rightarrow T^0(A_1) \rightarrow T^0(A_2) \rightarrow T^0(A_3) \xrightarrow{\delta^0} \cdots \xrightarrow{\delta^{i-1}} T^i(A_1) \rightarrow T^i(A_2) \rightarrow T^i(A_3) \xrightarrow{\delta^i} \cdots$$

is exact.

- It transfers a commutative diagram to a commutative diagram.

δ^i is called a **connecting morphism**.

The δ -functor is a generalisation of the derived functor.

It is also useful for proving that the family of functors are form a derived functor!

Definition 5.5

\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} : Abelian categories, $F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$: additive functor.

F is called **effaceable** if $\forall A \in \mathcal{A}, \exists M \in \mathcal{A}; \exists u : A \rightarrow M$: injection; $F(u) = 0$.

Proposition 5.6

\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} : Abelian categories, \mathcal{A} has enough injectives. $T^\bullet = \{T^i\}_{i \geq 0}$: δ -functor.

$\forall i > 0, T^i$ is effaceable. Then;

- T^0 is left-exact.
- $\forall i \geq 0, T^i \cong R^i T^0$ (up to unique isomorphism).

Proposition 5.7

$\check{H}^\bullet(\underline{a}, -)$ is a δ -functor with $\check{H}^0(\underline{a}, -) \cong H_1^0(-)$.

Sketch of the proof.

$0 \rightarrow M_1 \rightarrow M_2 \rightarrow M_3 \rightarrow 0$: exact sequence of $\text{Mod}(A)$.

$C^\bullet(\underline{a}, M) = C^\bullet(\underline{a}) \otimes M$ and $C^i(\underline{a})$ is flat. \rightsquigarrow We obtain an exact sequence of complexes by taking tensor products.

$$0 \longrightarrow C^\bullet(\underline{a}, M_1) \longrightarrow C^\bullet(\underline{a}, M_2) \longrightarrow C^\bullet(\underline{a}, M_3) \longrightarrow 0 .$$

So there are connecting morphisms. □

Proposition 5.8

A : ring, $\underline{a} = a_1, \dots, a_r \in A$.

\underline{a} is a weakly proregular sequence $\iff \check{H}^\bullet(\underline{a}, -)$ is an effaceable δ -functor.

Sketch of the proof.

It is enough to check each injective module J , $\check{H}^i(\underline{a}, J) = 0$ ($\forall i > 0$).

Use Proposition 5.1. i.e. $\check{H}^i(\underline{a}, M) \cong \varinjlim H^i(\underline{a}^n, M)$.

\rightsquigarrow Calculate the Koszul (co)homology! (Note that $H^i(\underline{a}^n, J) \cong \text{Hom}(H_i(\underline{a}^n), J)$.) □

Theorem 5.9 (ICYMI : Schenzel's theorem)

A : ring, $\underline{a} = a_1, \dots, a_r \in A$ and $I = (a_1, \dots, a_r)$.

\underline{a} is a weakly proregular sequence $\iff \forall i \geq 0, \forall M \in \text{Mod}(A), H_I^i(M) \cong \check{H}^i(\underline{a}, M)$.

Elementary proof of Schenzel's theorem. A(2021).

It is a combination of what has been said so far.

$$H_I^0(-) \cong \Gamma_I(M) \cong \check{H}^0(\underline{a}, -). \quad (\text{Lem. 5.3})$$

$$\underline{a} \text{ is a weakly proregular sequence} \iff \check{H}^\bullet(\underline{a}, -) \text{ is an effaceable } \delta\text{-functor.} \quad (\text{Prop. 5.8})$$

~~~~~  
 $\rightarrow$

$$\underline{a} \text{ is a weakly proregular sequence} \iff \forall i \geq 0, \check{H}^i(\underline{a}, -) \cong H_I^i(-) = R^i\Gamma_I(-). \quad (\text{Prop. 5.6})$$

□

# Reference

- [And21] R. Ando (2021) “A note on weakly proregular sequences”, Accepted in *Moroccan Journal of Algebra and Geometry with Applications*, arXiv:2105.07652.
- [CG00] S. T. Chapman and S. Glaz eds. (2000) *Non-Noetherian Commutative Ring Theory* : Springer.
- [GM92] J. P. C. Greenlees and J. P. May (1992) “Derived functors of  $I$ -adic completion and local homology”, *Journal of Algebra*, Vol. 149, No. 2, pp. 438–453, DOI: 10.1016/0021-8693(92)90026-I.
- [HM07] T. D. Hamilton and T. Marley (2007) “Non-Noetherian Cohen–Macaulay rings”, *Journal of Algebra*, Vol. 307, No. 1, pp. 343–360, DOI: 10.1016/j.jalgebra.2006.08.003.
- [KW20] Y. Kim and A. Walker (2020) “A note on Non-Noetherian Cohen–Macaulay rings”, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, Vol. 148, No. 3, pp. 1031–1042, DOI: 10.1090/proc/14836, arXiv:1812.05079.
- [Mil08] L. M. Miller (2008) “A Theory of Non-Noetherian Gorenstein Rings”, Ph.D. dissertation, University of Nebraska at Lincoln.
- [Sch03] P. Schenzel (2003) “Proregular sequences, local cohomology, and completion”, *Math. Scand.*, Vol. 92, No. 2, pp. 161–180, DOI: 10.7146/math.scand.a-14399.